

Updates and Errata for California's Wetland Demonstration Program Pilot: A Final Draft Project Report for Review by the California Resources Agency
SCCWRP Technical Report No. 572.

This update was compiled on Feb. 1, 2010 by State Water Board Staff.

Page references are given for the page numbers within the document, and not for the pdf file page number.

Only matters of substantial pertinence to the report's topic or meaning are addressed in this update. Minor typographical and syntactical errors that do not affect the meaning of the text are not noted here.

Erroneous text is ~~struck through~~ and corrections are underlined in the following citations.

p. 3: It is stated that: "CRAM has been validated against independent Level 3 measures of condition for estuarine and riverine wetlands (Stein *et al.* in press)."

That report has been published. The correct citation for that report is:

Stein, E., Fetscher, E., Clark, R., Wiskind, A., Grenier, L., Sutula, M., Collins, J., and Grosso, C. 2009. *Validation of a wetland rapid assessment method: Use of EPA's Level 1-2-3 Framework for method testing and refinement.* Wetlands, Vol. 29, No. 2, June 2009, pp. 648–665

p. 13: Clarification: "As currently conceived, the University of California, Davis extension service could provide the mechanism through which training is administered."

Training is currently being implemented through the University of California at Davis Extension, and plans for expanding training opportunities statewide are under development.

p. 30, para. 4: See note for p. 3 above.

p. 31: Regarding reference networks: Bibliographic information on the citation of Ode and Schiff, 2008 is not provided in the bibliography. The correct reference for the final report is:

P. Ode, K. Schiff. 2009 *Recommendations for the development and maintenance of a reference condition management program (RCMP) to support biological assessment of California's wadeable streams: Report to the State Water Resources Control Board's Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP).* Southern California Coastal Water Research Project Technical Report 581.

ftp://ftp.sccwrp.org/pub/download/DOCUMENTS/TechnicalReports/581_CA_RCMP_WadeStreams.pdf

p. 32: It is stated that: “In September 2008 the SWRCB initiated an external peer review of CRAM through the University of California, Davis Berkeley.”

The SWRCB’s external peer review, which is under way following Cal EPA standards, is conducted through the University of California – Berkeley. Results of this review are expected in March of 2010, and will be available at the SWRCB website.

p. 34: Regarding use of CRAM, It is stated that:

“The final draft review guidelines are currently being reviewed by the agencies and the ~~SWMW~~ CWMW. It is anticipated that individual agencies will develop policies and procedures for using CRAM based on these guidelines.”

Guidelines for use of CRAM are now available at:

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/monitoring_council/wetland_workgroup/docs/techbulletin_cram.pdf

Additional detailed implementation and development steps based on this guidance are under preparation by California Wetland Monitoring Workgroup (CWMW). Adoption or adaptation of these guidelines by specific agencies, including the State Water Board, is still under consideration.